EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Council Officer



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL OFFICER

Executive Decision Reference Number - COD02 21/22

Dec	Decision					
I	Title of decision: Urgent Decision: Direct Award for Managed Social Work Service in Children's Social Work, CYPFS for 6 months					
2	Decision maker (Council Officer name and job title): Alison Botham, Director of Children's Services					
3	Report author and contact details: Jane Anstis, Head of Service Children's Social Work					
4a	Decision to be taken: To direct award a contract for services to Innovate, to the value of £491,000.					
4b	Reference number of original executive decision or date of original committee meeting where delegation was made: N/A					
5	Reasons for decision:					

5 Reasons for decisions

Children's Social Work has experienced an unprecedented and significant rise in demand for children's social work services during the pandemic. It is now 25% higher (on 30th June 201) than at the beginning of the first lockdown on 23rd March 2020.

This demand exceeds our capacity to respond and requires additional social work resource.

All efforts to recruit experienced social workers on a permanent or locum basis has not been able to keep up with this demand. There is a paucity of experienced social workers regionally and we now need a bespoke approach to provide a team of social workers to respond to this demand alongside our usual recruitment processes.

This has become an urgent issue and we need an urgent solution to this in order to be able to allocate children's cases to social workers at a level that they can safely respond to. An ordinary procurement process would take too long.

A direct award would allow us to progress this arrangement as a matter of urgency.

Innovate have a track record in providing social work teams for local authorities. They are currently working with 17 local authorities in England (with 26 teams in these authorities). We have undertaken due diligence and have spoken directly to DCS's who are currently using this service.

The current impact on operational and safe service delivery of safeguarding services to children and families due to increasing staffing and caseload pressures requires an urgent solution.

It is therefore intended that a contract is awarded by direct award to Innovate via a negotiated

procedure without prior publication relying on 32(2)(c) Public Contracts Regulations 2015 to provide extremely urgent deliverables.

6 Alternative options considered and rejected:

Internal options were explored but have been exhausted including;

- Permanent recruitment this is taking too long to resolve the issue. This is ongoing alongside the solution sought but cannot respond in the agile way we need currently.
- Locum social workers via Pertemps suppliers. This has not yielded the experienced social
 workers we need in the numbers we need currently. The Service Director has been in regular
 discussions with senior leaders in Pertemps and individual suppliers to accelerate a response but
 this has not been successful to date. We must consider alternative to ensure safe practice and to
 reduce the risk of losing existing staff who are struggling to manage existing workloads without
 this solution.
- Work attempted with one of the Pertemps suppliers to identify a team of social workers. Work
 on this progressed but did not materialise when the identified social workers existing contract
 was extended by another local authority in the region.
- Increased family support worker provision in the absence of successful qualified social work recruitment
- Rigorous scrutiny of all possible case closures, thresholds and alternative safe support options for families within the EHATS service
- Increase of agency social worker rates and accommodation allowances and persistent direct partnership working with agencies to recruit interim workers
- Temporary mobilisation of all qualified social workers in the service, regardless of current position
- Exploration of 'whole team' interim solutions.

None of the above options have yielded the staff resources necessary to resolve the (increasing) capacity pressures and in the latter case there is low confidence, following due diligence conversations with other Local authorities that the quality of interim staff would be guaranteed through this route.

7 Financial implications: £491k from revenue funding for a period of 6 months. This will be funded through the The Covid Outbreak Management Fund

8	Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact Democratic Support for further advice)	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:
			X	in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total
			X	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million
			X	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.
8b	If yes, date of publication of the	N/A		

	notice in the Forward Decisions	Plan of Key							
9	Please specify how this linked to the Council's plan/Plymouth Plan and framework and/or the revenue/capital budget	Caring	This decision aligns with the Corporate Plan priority of Caring for People and Communities in keeping children, young people and adults protected.						
10	Please specify any direct environmental implicate decision (carbon impact	tions of the	There a	There are no environmental impacts of the decision.					
Urge	nt decisions								
11	Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the		Yes	X	(If yes, please contact <u>Democratic</u> <u>Support</u> for advice)				
	public?	No		(If no, go to section 13a)					
I2a	Reason for urgency: As above, the operational and safeguarding implications of continued increases in caseload pressures is serious for children and families in Plymouth. Difficulties with recruitment and retention coupled with increased staff sickness and self-isolation due to Covid -19 and the increase (25%) of children in the service over the past 12 months is creating an unsafe pressure that now needs an urgent response. The award of the contract to Innovate is intended to mitigate some of this pressure.								
I2b	Scrutiny Chair signature:	Councillor Lai via email)	ng (agreed	Date	14 July 2021				
	Scrutiny Committee name:	Education and	Children's	Children's Social Care Overview and Scrutiny Committee					
	Print Name:	Councillor Jen	nima Laing						
Cons	ultation								
13a	Are any other Cabinet members'			×					
	portfolios affected by t	No		(If no go to section 14)					
I3b	Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision?			Cllr Dave Downie, Cabinet Member for Education, Skills, Children and Young People					
13c	Date Cabinet member	consulted	9 July 2	9 July 202 I					
14	Has any Cabinet meml			If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer					
	decision?		No	X	3				
15	Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?			1	Alison Botham				
				le	Director of Children's Services				

	1		Date	consul	tod	9 luk	2021					
			Date		iteu	/ July	2021					
Sign	-off											
16	Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:			Democratic Support (mandatory)						DS20 21/22		
				ce (ma	anda	tory)		djn.	djn.21.22.53			
				Legal (mandatory)						MS/36955		
			Human Resources (if applicable)						721KAB			
				Corporate property (if applicable)					N/A			
				reme	nt (if	applic	able)	PB/0	CYP/006/	ED/0721		
Арр	endic	es										
17	Ref.	Title of appendix										
	A EXECUTIVE decision - Business Case. Direct Award for Managed Social Work Team for 6 months											
	B.	EIA										
Cont	fident	ial/exempt information										
		ial/exempt information	Yes		If ve	s prepa	are a seco	and con	fidential ('Part II')		
Conf	Do y	ial/exempt information you need to include any idential/exempt information?	Yes		brief	fing rep	are a seco	ndicate v	vhy it is r	ot for		
	Do y	ou need to include any	Yes	X	brief publ of th	fing rep ication ne Local	ort and in by virtue I Governi	ndicate v of Part ment Ac	vhy it is r Tof Sched t 1972 by	not for dule 12A		
	Do y	ou need to include any		X	brief publ of th	fing rep ication ne Local	ort and in by virtue	ndicate v of Part ment Ac	vhy it is r Tof Sched t 1972 by	not for dule 12A		
	Do y	ou need to include any			brief publ of th the	fing rep ication ne Local relevant	ort and in by virtue I Governi	ndicate v of Part ment Ac 8b belo	vhy it is r I of Sched t 1972 by w.	not for dule 12A		
	Do y	ou need to include any			brief publ of th the i	fing rep ication ne Local relevant	ort and ing the second of the	ndicate v of Part ment Ac 8b belo	vhy it is r I of Sched t 1972 by w.	not for dule 12A		
	Do y conf	rou need to include any idential/exempt information?	No	E	brief publ of th the i	fing repication the Local relevant	ort and in by virtue Governot box in I	of Part nent Ac 8b belo ph Nur	vhy it is r lof Scheo t 1972 by w.	not for dule 12A ticking		
I8a	Do y conf	rou need to include any idential/exempt information?	No	E	brief publ of th the i	fing repication the Local relevant	ort and in by virtue Governot box in I	of Part nent Ac 8b belo ph Nur	vhy it is r lof Scheo t 1972 by w.	not for dule 12A ticking		
18a 18b	Do y conf	rou need to include any idential/exempt information?	No	E	brief publ of th the i	fing repication the Local relevant	ort and in by virtue Governot box in I	of Part nent Ac 8b belo ph Nur	vhy it is r lof Scheo t 1972 by w.	not for dule 12A ticking		
18a 18b Back	Contitle:	rou need to include any idential/exempt information? fidential/exempt briefing report:	No	2	brief publ of th the I	fing repication le Local relevant aption 3	ort and in by virtue I Governit box in I Paragra	of Part of Part ment Ac 8b belo ph Nur	vhy it is r lof Sched t 1972 by w. nber	not for dule 12A ticking		
18a 18b	Contitle: Regroute Pleas Back; disclothe in	rou need to include any idential/exempt information? fidential/exempt briefing report:	rs relevant or andicate w	ant to a imporrhy it is	the demate	ecision rial extropolation for publication and the second	ort and in by virtue I Governot box in I Paragra 4 in the table ent in pretthe work lication by	of Part ment Ac 8b belo ph Nur 5 ole belov eparing t is based	vhy it is r lof Scheo t 1972 by w. nber 6 v. he repor	t, which		
18a 18b Back	Contitle: Reground Pleas Backs disclothe in Scheen	fidential/exempt information? fidential/exempt briefing report: nd Papers e list all unpublished, background pape ground papers are unpublished works, ose facts or matters on which the reponformation is confidential, you must incompared to the conformation is confidential.	rs relevant or andicate w	ant to an importing its is an importing it is an important in importan	the domate tant proof for the	ecision rial exterport of part of the relevant	ort and in by virtue I Governot box in I Paragra 4 in the table ent in pretthe work lication by	of Part ment Ac 8b belo ph Nur 5 ole belov eparing to is based y virtue	vhy it is r l of Scheo t 1972 by w. nber 6 v. he repor of Part 16	t, which		

Cour	Council Officer Signature									
20	I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.									
Signature		Disalohe	Date of	decisio	-	l July 202	21			
Print Name		Alison Botham, Director of C	hildren's Se	ervices						